

---

**NOTICE TO ALL INVESTORS IN HIGHVELD SYNDICATIONS 15 TO 22 /  
PICKVEST**

---

You are hereby given notice of a court application by certain investors, which is to be heard on 13 November 2024 at 10h00 in the Johannesburg High Court, to set aside, alternatively appeal against, a court order granted in favour of Orthotouch Ltd whereby the court sanctioned a scheme of arrangement in relation to Orthotouch Ltd and Highveld Syndications 15 to 22, purportedly adopted at a meeting on 12 November 2014.

A summary of the relief sought appears below, but a **full copy of the application papers are available on the website [www.hsaction.co.za](http://www.hsaction.co.za) under “Documents”**. The Applicants on the papers are Jurie Johannes Geldenhuys and others, whilst the Respondents are Orthotouch Ltd and others - in the Gauteng Local Division, Johannesburg, under case number 42334/14.

**If you wish to oppose the said court application**, you are to file a notice of opposition within 10 days of publication of this notice, and file an opposing affidavit within 20 days of publication – and email same to [info@theronlaw.co.za](mailto:info@theronlaw.co.za).

A summary of the relief (order) sought is as follows: (a) Setting aside the order granted on 26 November 2014 (“the order”) in the *ex parte* application in terms of Rule 42(1)(a) of the Uniform Rules of Court, alternatively the common law, on one or more of the grounds set out [in the Notice of Motion]; or alternatively, (b) granting leave to appeal against the granting of the said order.

A summary of the grounds for the setting aside, alternatively the appeal, are, amongst others, that (1) “The Highveld Syndication Investors” as described are not, and have never been, creditors of Orthotouch for purposes of section 152(2) of the Companies Act of 2008; (2) Orthotouch is in default of payment and has subsequently been placed under business rescue (3) the proposed scheme of arrangement seeks to compromise the claims of third parties to the compromise (4) the arrangement is unintelligible in material respects, and (5) material facts were not placed before the court.

For Theron & Partners  
18 Alexander Street  
Stellenbosch  
(Ref. JB Theron)